Routine screening is needed to identify language problems in children with ADHD

By Dr Jessica K Edwards

In 2017, Emma Sciberras and colleagues conducted a Research Review for the Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry to rigorously analyse how common are language problems in children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Here, the researchers discuss their main findings and explain why they consider that a screen for language function would be a valuable addition to current ADHD assessments.

In 1986, Beitchman and colleagues performed the first population-based study of co-morbid language problems and Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). They found that 5-year olds with language impairments had >6-fold increased risk of also having ADHD. Subsequent studies have confirmed these original findings and the reciprocal association between ADHD and a higher risk of language problems. However, many of the studies conducted to date have been limited by inconsistent or inadequate methods for diagnosing ADHD or assessing language, or have focused on clinical samples where children with severe ADHD and greater co-morbid conditions may be over-represented.

“We were aware of some small studies that have pointed to children with ADHD having an increased risk of language problems, such as difficulties in expressing themselves with language and difficulties in understanding language”, explains Sciberras. “Our review, therefore, aimed to provide clarity on whether children with ADHD are at a higher risk for language problems compared to children without ADHD, and the types of language problem that may be experienced”.

The researchers first explain that there is no real consensus for defining language and its associated disorders, and even the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) of Mental Disorders has altered its definition of communicative disorders in its various editions. The most commonly used system for defining language is based on language modalities, being (i) expressive (i.e. vocalising and expressing thoughts) and (ii) receptive (i.e. listening and understanding), and the domains of language structure, being vocabulary, grammar and discourse. In addition, there is an element of pragmatic language to consider, which describes the appropriate use of language depending on the social context. In their review, Sciberras uses “language problems” to describe the broad range of language difficulties.

Sciberras et al. identified 21 studies that met the following inclusion criteria: (a) confirmed ADHD at the time of the study, (b) inclusion of a non-ADHD control group, (c) use of a validated language measure, and (d) age ≤18 years. These studies captured >1,000 children with ADHD and healthy controls, and of the 68 separate analyses conducted across these studies, 60 showed a significant difference between the ADHD and control group in terms of language measures. Overall, they found meta-analytic evidence supporting that children with ADHD experience substantially poorer language functioning across multiple domains compared to children without ADHD.

The domains affected included overall language, and receptive, expressive and pragmatic language functioning. Expressive language produced the largest weighted mean effect size (ES=1.23), followed by overall language (ES=1.04), pragmatic language (ES=0.98) and receptive language (ES=0.97), which all remained robust after adjusting for possible publication bias. Thus, these data confirm that ADHD is strongly associated with weaknesses across several language modalities. “We did expect children with ADHD to have difficulties with language, but we didn’t expect that the effect sizes would be so large”, notes Sciberras. “Difficulties with expressive, receptive and pragmatic language should, therefore, be considered a core component of the profile of ADHD deficits”.

In addition, there is an element of pragmatic language to consider, which describes the appropriate use of language depending on the social context. In their review, Sciberras uses “language problems” to describe the broad range of language difficulties.

Sciberras et al. identified 21 studies that met the following inclusion criteria: (a) confirmed ADHD at the time of the study, (b) inclusion of a non-ADHD control group, (c) use of a validated language measure, and (d) age ≤18 years. These studies captured >1,000 children with ADHD and healthy controls, and of the 68 separate analyses conducted across these studies, 60 showed a significant difference between the ADHD and control group in terms of language measures. Overall, they found meta-analytic evidence supporting that children with ADHD experience substantially poorer language functioning across multiple domains compared to children without ADHD.

The domains affected included overall language, and receptive, expressive and pragmatic language functioning. Expressive language produced the largest weighted mean effect size (ES=1.23), followed by overall language (ES=1.04), pragmatic language (ES=0.98) and receptive language (ES=0.97), which all remained robust after adjusting for possible publication bias. Thus, these data confirm that ADHD is strongly associated with weaknesses across several language modalities. “We did expect children with ADHD to have difficulties with language, but we didn’t expect that the effect sizes would be so large”, notes Sciberras. “Difficulties with expressive, receptive and pragmatic language should, therefore, be considered a core component of the profile of ADHD deficits”.

In addition, there is an element of pragmatic language to consider, which describes the appropriate use of language depending on the social context. In their review, Sciberras uses “language problems” to describe the broad range of language difficulties.
The researchers highlight in their review that the cause of language problems in children with ADHD is still unclear and hotly debated. They explain that gaining clarity on the root cause of language deficits in affected children with ADHD will open up opportunities to develop cost-effective, tailored interventions. “Some research from our group has shown that language problems in children with ADHD contribute to substantially poorer academic functioning”, says Sciberras. “However, it unclear whether it is possible to intervene to improve the language problems in children with ADHD and whether such interventions would lead to flow-on benefits to academic functioning”.

Theoretical models have postulated that language deficits in ADHD may be an expression of the ADHD symptoms that have a secondary, indirect effect on language performance, such as deficits in learning and concentration. Alternatively, language deficits in ADHD may occur irrespective of secondary influences. In support of the latter, another study conducted by Sciberras found that within a diagnostically confirmed ADHD cohort, ADHD status was the only unique predictor of co-morbid language problems. Other co-morbidities (such as internalizing disorder, externalizing disorder or autism spectrum disorder) and socio-demographic factors did not independently contribute to language deficits in ADHD.

Some preliminary research suggests that there may be a neurodevelopmental explanation underlying the association between ADHD and language problems. For example, two studies from Kibby et al. found that children with ADHD have smaller bilateral cerebral volume and an atypical pars triangularis compared to healthy controls, which are associated with receptive and expressive language function, respectively. Another study documented atypical morphology of the right hemisphere in patients with ADHD, which is associated with poor social comprehension.

Unfortunately, only a few have used such neuroimaging approaches to identify aberrations in the language networks in children with ADHD. Such studies, however, have the potential to provide support for interventions that develop speech–language skills or compensatory language strategies. Consequently, this is an area of research that Sciberras and her research team are actively addressing. “We are currently completing a longitudinal neuroimaging study involving 180 children with and without ADHD”, describes Sciberras. “The children have been assessed at age 10, 11.5 and 13 years using multi-modal imaging and language assessments, and the data are anticipated to help us examine the neurobiological underpinnings of language problems in children with ADHD”.

Going forward, the researchers hope that a thorough evaluation of language function in children presenting with ADHD can be clinically implemented. In 2000, the DSM-IV-TR differentiated between expressive and mixed receptive-expressive disorders but this was removed from the DSM-5 published in 2013. The DSM-5 did, however, include a new diagnostic category of social (pragmatic) communication disorder (SCD) for persistent difficulties in the social use of verbal and nonverbal communication. According to the DSM-5, SCD can co-occur with other communication disorders but cannot be diagnosed in the presence of another mental disorder.

“We hope that over the next 10 years, it is recognised that difficulties with expressive, receptive and pragmatic aspects of language in children with ADHD are common and that these domains are more systematically assessed and considered as part of the child’s ADHD management plan”, says Sciberras. “We also hope that more is understood about the origins of language problems in children with ADHD. For example, we need to determine whether attention deficits or global developmental problems experienced by children with ADHD drive increased rates of language problems.”
In summary, Sciberras et al., found large deficits in language functioning in children with ADHD across all language modalities. They explain that despite the increasing acknowledgement that language problems often accompany ADHD, there has been little translational change, such as the development of appropriate language interventions for children with ADHD or the implementation of screens for language problems. “A previous study from our group found that less than half of children with ADHD and language problems have ever accessed speech pathology services”, says Sciberras. “A brief screen for language problems in clinical practice could, therefore, assist in identifying this co-morbidity”.
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Learning Outcomes:
1. Children with ADHD experience large deficits in their language functioning across expressive, receptive, and pragmatic language modalities.
2. This review supports the need for thorough evaluation of language function in children presenting with ADHD.

Policy Impact:
Although there is increasing acknowledgement of the language problems that often accompany ADHD, this does not appear to have translated to the implementation of consistent language screens or language interventions for children with ADHD. A brief screen for language problems in clinical practice could assist in better identification of such co-morbid problems.