



The Association
for Child and Adolescent
Mental Health

CAMHS around the Campfire



Virtual journal club

#CAMHScampfire



The Mental Elf

#CAMHScampfire No.4

Cognitive predictors of post-traumatic stress

Evidence from a prospective cohort study
of young people in out-of-home care



The Association
for Child and Adolescent
Mental Health

CAMHS around the Campfire



Virtual journal club
#CAMHScampfire



The Mental Elf

The research question

“In young people in (foster) care, do cognitive processes predict PTSD and/or Complex PTSD symptoms?”

The Journal of Child
Psychology and Psychiatry

Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 62:1 (2021), pp 48–57



doi:10.1111/jcpp.13232

A longitudinal study of cognitive predictors of (complex) post-traumatic stress in young people in out-of-home care

Rachel M. Hiller,¹ Richard Meiser-Stedman,² Elizabeth Elliott,¹ Rosie Banting,¹ and Sarah L. Halligan^{1,3}

¹Department of Psychology, University of Bath, Bath, UK; ²Department of Clinical Psychology, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK; ³Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa



The Association
for Child and Adolescent
Mental Health

CAMHS around the Campfire



Virtual journal club

#CAMHScampfire



The Mental Elf

Study methods

Participants were recruited from three local authorities in England

- Around half of those invited took part

The researchers assessed:

- Trauma and maltreatment history
- PTSD symptoms, including complex features
- Cognitive processes (maladaptive appraisals, coping and memory quality)

Followed up after 1 year



CAMHS around the Campfire



Virtual journal club

#CAMHScampfire



The Mental Elf

The Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health

Critical appraisal

Question	Yes	Can't tell	No
1. Did the study address a clearly focused issue?	X		
2. Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?		X	
3. Was the exposure accurately measured to minimise bias?	X		
4. Was the outcome accurately measured to minimise bias?	X		
5. (a) Have the authors identified all important confounding factors?		X	
5. (b) Have they taken account of the confounding factors in the design and/or analysis?	X		
6. (a) Was the follow up of subjects complete enough?	X		
6. (b) Was the follow up of subjects long enough?	X		
7. What are the results of this study?			
8. How precise are the results?			
9. Do you believe the results?	X		
10. Can the results be applied to the local population?		X	
11. Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?	X		
12. What are the implications of this study for practice?			



The Association
for Child and Adolescent
Mental Health

CAMHS around the Campfire



Virtual journal club

#CAMHScampfire



The Mental Elf

Critical appraisal

- Limits of observational design re confounders
 - Efforts were made to investigate known confounders
 - Potential for “false positive” associations
- Potential for selection bias
 - “Avoidance” behaviours may contribute to non-participation
- Attrition bias
 - Drop-out rate was relatively low, missing data was imputed
- Potential for ascertainment bias
 - Self reports were compared against carer reports
- Broadly consistent with other evidence



CAMHS around the Campfire



Virtual journal club

#CAMHScampfire



The Mental Elf

The Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health

Study findings

120 young people took part:

- 86% were in foster care
- About one-third had probable PTSD

PTSD symptoms and complex features were strongly correlated with each other.

Baseline cognitive processes were associated with PTSD symptoms and complex features

- Maladaptive appraisals were particularly important, and were associated with symptoms at 12 months after controlling for baseline PTSD symptoms
- SW report of maltreatment severity was not associated with symptom severity
- Child and carer reports had poor agreement

There was no significant change in symptoms over 12 months

Table 3 Results of linear regressions for cognitive processes predicting child-reported baseline and 12-month PTSD symptoms and complex features

	Model 1. Controlling for sex			Model 2. Controlling for baseline PTSD symptoms		
	R ² Δ	FΔ	β	R ² Δ	FΔ	β
Baseline PTSD symptoms						
Appraisals	.59	58.15*	.47*			
Coping			.27*			
Memory			.15 [†]			
12-month PTSD symptoms						
Appraisals	.29	12.53*	.36*	.07	2.76**	.30 [†]
Coping			.16			.14
Memory			.10			.09
Baseline CF						
Appraisals	.62	58.31*	.70*	.09	9.30*	.45*
Coping			.22**			.16 [†]
Memory			-.09			-.14 [†]
12-month CF						
Appraisals	.23	7.90*	.41*	.10	3.36**	.45*
Coping			.08			.10
Memory			.04			.05

Sex controlled for in Step 1 of each regression. Three cognitive processes entered in Step 2.

p* < .01; *p* < .05, [†]*p* < .10.



The Association
for Child and Adolescent
Mental Health

CAMHS around the Campfire



Virtual journal club

#CAMHScampfire



The Mental Elf

Implications

Existing cognitive models of PTSD are relevant to YP in care.

These same cognitive processes may drive both PTSD symptoms and complex features.

- Established treatments that target these processes, e.g. trauma-focussed CBT, should be considered first-line treatment for YP in care with high PTSD symptoms
- These established treatments may improve both PTSD symptoms and complex features.