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Critical appraisal workshop on

**Autism and eating disorders:**

Evidence from two population cohort studies
Critical appraisal 101

“Not all evidence is created equal”
Ben Djulbegovic

Broadly, we can think of three possible explanations for the results of any research study:

1. Bias
2. Chance
3. The truth

The more we can rule out 1 and 2, the more confident we can be that we’re looking at 3.
Critical appraisal process

1. What is the research question?
2. Are the methods valid?
3. Are these valid results important?
4. Are these valid, important results applicable to my setting?
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Population cohort studies

In an ideal world

- Well-defined inception cohort, planned analysis
- Followed forward in time, using validated instruments
- Measure the association between these variables.
Population cohort studies

Population

Exposures measured

Outcomes measured

Retrospective analysis

Confounders (known and unknown) may introduce selection bias

The measures used or data available may introduce measurement biases

• Proxy measures
• Different measures at different times.

There’s a risk of “false positives” from too much data dredging.
The dangers of data dredging
Critical appraisal checklist

1. Did the study address a clearly focused question?
2. Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?
3. Was the exposure accurately measured to minimise bias?
4. Was the outcome accurately measured to minimise bias?
5. (a) Have the authors identified all important confounders?
5. (b) Have they taken account of the confounding factors in the design and/or analysis?
6. (a) Was the follow up of subjects complete enough?
6. (b) Was the follow up of subjects long enough?
Two population cohort studies

**ALSPAC**
- YP born in Avon, UK, from 1991
- Autistic traits, by SCDC checklist (social communication)
  - Ages 7, 11, 14 and 16.
- Any disordered eating behaviour (DEB)
  - Age 14, self-reports by postal questionnaire.

**CATSS**
- Twins born in Sweden from 2004
- Autistic traits, from A-TAC inventory
  (includes repetitive behaviour)
  - Ages 9 and 18.
- Diagnosis or treatment for anorexia nervosa
  - Age 18, from clinical records or parent report.
The Results

Population

Exposure measured

ASD

No ASD

Outcome measured

Eating disorder

No eating disorder

ALSPAC

5,381 participants with autism and DEB data at 14.

Participants with DEB at age 14:
• had higher SDCD autism scores, RR = 1.25 (1.16-1.34)
• also had higher autism scores at age 7,
  • but not at 16.

CATSS

5,987 participants with autism data at 9 and 18

No association was found between A-TAC autism scores at age 9 and a later diagnosis of anorexia nervosa.

RRBI was higher at age 18 in girls with acute AN, but not in girls with previous AN.
Attrition analysis

**ALSPAC**
- 5,381 participants (39% follow-up rate).

**CATSS**
- 5,987 participants (36% follow-up rate).

**Missing data or lost to follow-up**

Missing data was imputed to test the sensitivity of the results to attrition. Children with missing data tended to have younger, less educated mothers with a higher BMI and more likely to have a history of depression.

They analysed drop-outs and concluded that these people did not differ significantly from those who were included.
### Critical appraisal checklist for cohort studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>ALSPAC</th>
<th>CATSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Did the study address a clearly focused issue?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was the exposure accurately measured to minimise bias?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Was the outcome accurately measured to minimise bias?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DEB at one point in time in ALSPAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (a) Have the authors identified all important confounders?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No confounder analysis in CATSS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (b) Have they taken account of the confounding factors in the design and/or analysis?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. (a) Was the follow up of subjects complete enough?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• See Attrition analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. (b) Was the follow up of subjects long enough?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consistent pattern in ALSPAC
Repetitive behaviour in CATSS

Figure 4: Within-individual change in ASD subscale scores from age 9 to age 18 by group. Each colored line corresponds to one individual. The thicker black line is the group average. Compare Table 1. AN, Anorexia nervosa; SOC, Social communication; RRBI, Restricted/repetitive behavior and interests [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Conclusions

ALSPAC found that autistic traits at age 14 were associated with disordered eating behaviour
  – The overall relative risk was 1.25 (1.16 to 1.34)
CATSS found no association between autistic traits at age 9 and anorexia nervosa
  – Higher RRBI in girls with acute AN
The studies had quite different measures of autistic traits
  – social/communicative in ALSPAC, RRBI in CATSS
...and eating disorders
  – Any DEB in ALSPAC vs clinically diagnosed AN in CATSS
The link ALSPAC identified may be camouflaged in CATSS
  – Low event rate
  – Having an acute ED affects communication
  – Communication difficulties may affect people with eating disorders